

**REMARKS**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the very thorough consideration given the present application.

By this Amendment, claims 4-18 and 20-22 are canceled.

Claim 19 is now present in this application. Claim 19 is independent. Claims 9-13, which were withdrawn pursuant to an election of species requirement, are canceled without prejudice to presenting them in a divisional patent application. Figure 6 was amended in the Amendment filed August 26, 2004. This Supplemental Amendment merely provides a formal replacement sheet for the replacement sheet filed on August 26, 2004. No new matter is involved. Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

The Advisory Office Action indicates that, for purposes of appeal, claim 19 would be allowed.

Applicant has filed two Information Disclosure Statements (IDS) neither of which has been considered on their merits. Applicant also filed another Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) on May 4, 2004, with respect to which the two items listed in the second and third spaces under "Other Documents" on the PTO-1449 attached thereto, were not considered or initialed by the Examiner. Applicant re-submitted a corrected version of the Information

Disclosure Statement as an attachment to the Amendment filed on August 26, 2004. The dates for the two non-initialed references were supplied in the corrected IDS and PTO-1449.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider the corrected Information Disclosure Statement, as well as the two more recently submitted Information Disclosure Statements, and provide Applicant with an initialed copy of the PTO-1449s submitted with those three Information Disclosure Statements.

### **Conclusion**

Moreover, because all claims except claim 19 have been canceled, and because claim 19 has been indicated to be in an allowed status, Applicant respectfully requests that this Supplemental Amendment be entered, all three of the aforementioned Information Disclosure Statements considered, and this Application passed to issue.

It is believed that full and complete responses have been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone

Application No.: 10/060,205

Attorney Docket No. 0630-1423P

Supplemental Amendment re May 26, and September 17, 2004 Office Actions  
Art Unit 2834

Page 6

Robert J. Webster, Jr., Registration No. 46,472, at (703) 205-8000, in the Washington, D.C. area.

Pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.136(a), Applicants respectfully petitions for a two (2) month extension of time for filing a response in connection with the present application. The required fee of \$430.00 is attached hereto.


If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By: James T. Eller, Jr. #41,458

James T. Eller, Jr.  
Reg. No.: 39,538

JTE/RJW:gf 

P.O. Box 747  
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747  
Telephone: (703) 205-8000

Enclosure: Formal Replacement Drawing Sheet

*Amendments to the Drawings*

The attached sheet of formal drawing includes changes to Fig. 6. This sheet, which includes Fig. 6, replaces the original sheet including that same Figure.

Fig. 6 has been amended to show certain directions of movement.

Attachment:      Formal Replacement Sheet  
                         Annotated Sheet Showing Changes